## Sample Non Matching Hoist and Sling Checklist Checklist and Risk Assessment for use by occupational therapists or physiotherapists when prescribing non-compatible hoist and sling combinations.

Individual:			Therapist cond	ucting risk ass	essment:	
Place of Use:			Date of risk as	sessment:		
-	roducts are to be used to highly specialised needs	-				
Most hoist manufactur	rers recommend the use of sa	ame brand	slings due to app	ropriate testing	and quality co	ntrol.
	es the use of a non-matching required to meet the highly s				s no endorsen	nent from the
	of the prescriber (i.e. occupa and sling in addition to comple				e the correct f	it and overall
HOIST DETAILS						
Brand:	Model:			Safe working lo	ad (SWL):	
SLING DETAILS						
Brand:	Model:	Size:		Safe working lo	ad (SWL):	
COMPATIBILITY ASSE	SSMENT OF EQUIPMENT					
NOTE: If any of the foll	owing "No" boxes please revi	iew your pr	rescription			
General statements about safe use for all components have been reviewed and this has not identified valid reasons that prevent the use of the sling and hoist together (other than Yes No No manufacturer statements etc). If 'Yes' continue below; If 'No' try another combination.						
Do the hoist, spreader	r and sling utilise the same at	tachment t	ypes?		Yes 🗌	No 🗌
All sling and hoist con and exhausted	nbinations endorsed by the ho	oist manufa	acturer have been	investigated	Yes 🗌	No 🗌
Clinical justification for	use of non-matching hoists a	and slings i	is provided:			
Safe use instructions a	and checks provided by each	manufactu	irer have been foll	owed:		
	ist (e.g. pre-use checks, regu			owea.	Yes 🗌	No 🗌
	ng (e.g. pre-use checks, appr		,		Yes 🗌	No 🗌
	ight can be accommodated w ment mechanism. (i.e. the low				Yes 🗌	No 🗌
_	can be used safely with the l					
-	ent type, attachment position a	-				
	ents create a secure fastening			ay be created	Yes 🗌	No 🗌
	nd hoist together do not creat or loss of lifting power of the h		r distortion that m	ay cause	Yes 🗌	No 🗌



M: 0413 954 530 E: julie@safeactions.com.au W: www.safeactions.com.au

The prescribed sling has been functionally assessed and the safety and comfort of the individual and staff are maintained e.g. consider: <ul> <li>individual's position when raised and lowered in all lift situations</li> <li>leg/feet positioning, head positioning re-spreader bar</li> <li>Individual can be appropriately positioned and balanced in all lift situations</li> <li>clearance over furniture etc is achieved</li> <li>nip, crush or other entrapment points are not created during lifts</li> </ul> Yes     No     Individual     Individual								
Appropriate training can b	be provided to staff using t	the sling w	vith the hoist for the	e individual.		Yes 🗌	No 🗌	
PRESCRIBER DETAILS								
Name:			Position/Profession	on:				
Signature:	Date:					ite:		
HOIST SLING TRIAL								
HOIST DETAILS								
Brand:	Model:	Size:		Safe working	g loa	id (SWL):		
SLING DETAILS		-						
Brand: Model: Size: Safe working lo						ad (SWL):		
Success of trial of hoist/s								
Any additional conditions	of use instructions or pred	cautions:						
FINAL HOIST SLING RE	COMMENDATION							
HOIST DETAILS		1		1				
Brand:	Model:	Size:		Safe working	g loa	d (SWL):		
SLING DETAILS	T	1						
Brand:	Model:	Size:		Safe working	g loa	id (SWL):		
Any conditions of use ins	tructions or precautions:							
PRESCRIBER DETAILS								
Name:			Position/Profession	on:	I			
Signature:					Da	ite:		



## **Risk Assessment**

Complete this page to provide a comparison between the matching sling and the prescribed (non-matching ) sling.

The risks listed should be derived from the clinical justification for the recommended sling. It should be demonstrated that the risk levels and outcomes for student (and/or staff) are improved by using the prescribed sling as compared with the manufacturer endorsed sling

<b>Risk</b> List identified risks that are improved by using the	Manufacturer endorsed (matching) sling			Prescribed (non matching) sling			
prescribed sling – e.g. inadvertent detachment, student fall, student positioning, student response.	Likelihood	Consequence	Risk level	Likelihood	Consequence	Risk level	

Risk Matrix Table									
		Consequence							
		Insignificant No treatment required	<b>Minor</b> Minor injury – first aid treatment	Moderate Injury - medical treatment or lost time<4 days	<b>Major</b> Serious injury e.g. > 4 days lost time	Critical Loss of life or multiple serious injuries			
	Almost Certain	Medium	Medium	High	Extreme	Extreme			
	Likely	Low	Medium	High	High	Extreme			
Likelihood	Possible	Low	Medium	High	High	High			
	Unlikely	Low	Low	Medium	Medium	High			
	Rare	Low	Low	Low	Low	Medium			

Based on checklist from Department of Education, Training and Employment Policy and Procedure Qld.



M: 0413 954 530 E: julie@safeactions.com.au W: www.safeactions.com.au